



**ACCREDITING  
COMMISSION  
for COMMUNITY and  
JUNIOR COLLEGES**

10 COMMERCIAL BOULEVARD  
SUITE 204  
NOVATO, CA 94949  
TELEPHONE: (415) 506-0234  
FAX: (415) 506-0238  
E-MAIL: accjc@accjc.org  
www.accjc.org

Chairperson  
LURELEAN B. GAINES  
East Los Angeles College

Vice Chairperson  
FLOYD K. TAKEUCHI  
Public Member

President  
BARBARA A. BENO

Vice President  
SUSAN B. CLIFFORD

Vice President  
STEVE MARADIAN

Vice President  
GARMAN JACK POND

Associate Vice President  
LILY OWYANG

Business Officer  
DEANNE WILBURN

ITAS  
TOM LANE

February 3, 2009

Mr. Juan Vázquez  
President  
Santiago Canyon College  
8045 E. Chapman Avenue  
Orange, CA 92869-4512

Dear President Vázquez:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting on January 7-9, 2009, reviewed the institutional Self Study Report and the report of the evaluation team which visited Santiago Canyon College on Monday, October 20-Thursday, October 23, 2008. The Commission acted to issue a **Warning** and to require that Santiago Canyon College correct the deficiencies noted. The college is required to complete a Follow-Up Report by **October 15, 2009**. The report will be followed by a visit of Commission representatives.

A **Warning** is issued when the Commission finds that an institution has pursued a course of action which deviates from the Commission's Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, or policy to an extent that gives concern to the Commission. The accredited status of the institution continues during the warning period. However, the institution's accreditation will not be reaffirmed until the conditions which warranted the warning are removed.

I also wish to inform you that under U.S. Department of Education regulations, institutions out of compliance with standards or on sanction are expected to correct deficiencies within a maximum two-year period or the Commission must take action to terminate accreditation.

The Follow-Up Report of **October 15, 2009** must demonstrate the institution's resolution of the recommendations noted below:

**Recommendation 3:** The team recommends that the district evaluate its planning processes, including the integration of technology, staffing, and facilities master plans, to ensure the budget is used as a planning tool to achieve both district and college strategic goals. As part of this integration, the team recommends that the district resource allocation model be based on the plans, program reviews, and actual budgetary performance. This requires that the district evaluate the outcomes of its planning/budgetary process and use that data in subsequent budget development. (IA1, IA3, IB4, IB6, IIA1, IIA2f, IIB2d, IID1, IID2, IID3, IVB3a, IVB3b)

Mr. Juan Vázquez  
Santiago Canyon College  
February 3, 2009  
Page Two

**Recommendation 4:** In order to maintain stable financial resources, the team recommends that the district review its computer-based student attendance recording system to ensure that repeated courses are being appropriately reported for state apportionment funding consistent with existing regulations. (IID1b, IID2a, IID2g)

**Recommendation 6:** The team recommends that the district review its board evaluation policy/regulation to ensure integrity and effectiveness, and that its assessment results are widely communicated and applied within a systematic culture of evidence and cycle of continuous improvement. (IVA5, IVB1g)

#### **Commission Recommendation 1**

The Commission recommends that the college complete a meaningful analysis of its planning process to ensure that program reviews are of sufficient quality and currency to be used as the basis for decision making, and that results of program review, the educational master plan, and the human resource, technology, fiscal, and facilities plans are integrated into the institution's resource allocation model. (Standards I.A.1, I.A.3, I.B.4, I.B.6, II.A.1, II.A.2.f, III.B.2.d, III.D.1, III.D.2, III.D.3, IV.B.3.a, IV.B.3.b)

With regard to Commission Recommendation 1, the college is advised to carefully read the evaluation team's analysis of the college program review and planning processes for guidance in making needed improvements.

I have previously sent you a copy of the evaluation team report. Additional copies may now be duplicated. The Commission requires you to give the team report and this letter dissemination to your college staff and to those who were signatories of your college self study report. This group should include the Chancellor, campus leadership and the Board of Trustees. The Commission also requires that the team report and the self study report be made available to students and the public. Placing copies in the college library can accomplish this. Should you want the report electronically to place on your web site or for some other purpose, please contact Commission staff.

The recommendations contained in the evaluation team report represent the observations of the evaluation team at the time of the visit. The Commission reminds you that while an institution may concur or disagree with any part of the team report, the college is expected to use the report to improve the educational programs and services of the institution.

All colleges are required to file a Midterm Report in the third year after each comprehensive evaluation. Santiago Canyon College should submit the Midterm Report by **October 15, 2011**. The Commission will send a reminder to the college about the Midterm Report; a description of reporting requirements can be found on the Commission's website.


Mr. Juan Vázquez  
Santiago Canyon College  
February 3, 2009  
Page Three

The college conducted a self study as part of its evaluation. The Commission suggests that the plans for improvement of the institution included in that document be taken into account in the continuing development of Santiago Canyon College. The next comprehensive evaluation of the college will occur during **Fall 2014**.

Finally, let me take this opportunity to remind you that federal legislation affecting accrediting agencies requires that accredited colleges conduct systematic assessment of educational outcomes (see especially Standards One and Two). A further requirement is that accrediting agencies pay close attention to student loan default rates.

On behalf of the Commission, I wish to express continuing interest in the institution's educational programs and services. Professional self-regulation is the most effective means of assuring integrity, effectiveness and quality.

Sincerely,



Barbara A. Beno, Ph.D.  
President

BAB/tl

cc: Dr. Edward Hernandez, Chancellor, Rancho Santiago CCD  
Ms. Mary Halvorsen, Accreditation Liaison Officer  
Board President, Rancho Santiago CCD  
Dr. Robin Calote, Team Chair  
Evaluation Team Members  
Ms. Linda Henderson, U.S. DOE

